## VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS & DENIRO LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

\$75 MARKET ST. + SUITE 2500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2871 TBLEPHONE 415.369.9660 FACSIMILE 415.369.9665 WWW.VIERRAMAGEN.COM

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

FROM: Ronald M. Pomerenke, Esq.			
DATE: December 6, 2011			
TOTAL NO. OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER:			
3			
SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: WILY-01013US0			
YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER: Application No. 10/700,338			

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CALL: RONALD POMERENKE AT 415.369.9660.

NOTES/COMMENTS:

NOTICE: THIS PACSANILE IS CONTIDENTIAL AND MAY UP ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED, WORK PRODUCT, AMADING OTHERWISE EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE ADDRESSEE AND THOSE AUTHORIZED BY THE ADDRESSEE TO RECEIVE IT. ANY USE, DISSEMENTATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYMOD OF THIS PACSIMILE BY ANY OTHERS IS PROHIBITED. ANY OTHERS RECEIVED IT THE PACSANILE ARE REQUESTED TO NOTIFY VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS & DENIRO LLP IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE OR, PAZY AND TO RETURN THE GROWNAL FACSIME. TO VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS & DENIRO LLP

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application		)	) PATENT APPLICATION	
Inventors:	Cirne et al.	(		2100
Application No.:	10/700,338	)	Art Unit:	2192
		į	Examiner:	Wei, Zheng
Filed:	November 3, 2003	)		
Title: SIMPLE METHOD OPTIMIZATION		)	Customer N	0 28554
		/	Customer 14	U. 200094

## EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA

The Applicants would like to discuss the following during the telephonic interview scheduled for December 7, 2PM eastern.

 (Proposed amendment) A process for monitoring, comprising: accessing a method;

automatically determining whether to modify said method, said step of automatically determining whether to modify said method includes automatically determining whether said method calls another method and if said method has an access level that satisfies a criterion; and

modifying said method for a particular purpose only if said method calls another method and said access level satisfies the criterion.

- Applicants respectfully assert that Berkley in view of Webster in further view of Grove fails to disclose, "modifying said method for a particular purpose only if said method calls another method and said access level satisfies the criterion," as claimed.
- Applicants will discuss an example referring to FIG. 4 of their disclosure.

-1-

- Applicants will briefly discuss page 686 of "A Framework for Call Graph
  Construction Algorithms (David Grove and Craig Chambers) (herein "Grove and
  Chambers"), which discusses problems with constructing call graphs. Applicants
  have invented a solution that does not require that a call graph be constructed.
  Rather, the Applicants have discovered that a much simpler solution is possible.
- Applicants will briefly discuss why Berkley in view of Webster in further view of Grave does not disclose the claim limitations.
  - Berkley discloses monitoring classes in an object-oriented environment. First, there is the issue that Berkley monitors classes.
     Second, there is the issue that merely teaching how to construct a call graph does not lead one to the claimed solution of what methods are modified in claim 1.
  - Webster discloses allowing a user to provide a list of methods to trace. Merely teaching how to construct a call graph does not lead one to the solution of that a method should be added to the list only if said method calls another method and said access level satisfies the criterion.

Ronald M. Pomerenke Reg. No. 43,009

VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS & DENIRO LLP 575 Market Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, California 94105-4206 Telephone: (415) 369-9660

Facsimile: (415) 369-9665

-2-

Attorney Docket No.: WILY-01013US0 wily/1013/1013.Ei agenda - Copy.doc